



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/801,852	03/08/2001	Shu-Jen David Chiang	ON0163NP 6300	
23914	7590 12/10/2003		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN B. DAVIS BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY			SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1652	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000		DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/801,852	CHIANG				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) LJ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 November 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6 and 8-11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		. Slobadyaceska				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Elizabeth Slobodyansky

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/801,852

Application No.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 1st paragraph, rejection of claims 12 and 13; objection of claim 11.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the 102 (b) rejection of claims 1, 3-6 and 9-11, Applicants argue that Politino et al. (A) (WO 98/12345) "does not, however, descibe the direct fermentation of desacetylcephalosporin C by expression of the cephalosporin esterase gene in A. chrysogenum, as in the present invention. The section of Politino (A) relied on by the Examiner merely show the characterization of the cepholosporin esterase so produced. Specifically, in Example 2, the esterase enzyme is added to a reaction mixture containing cephalosporin" (Remarks, page 4). This is not persuasive because Politino (A) teach a method for producing a polypeptide having cephalosporin esterase activity comprising culturing the host cell, Cephalosporin acremonium, containing the vector encoding cephalosporin esterase (claims 26-28). Said host cell directly produces desacetylcephalosporin C. Therefore, the process described by Politino (A) is identical to the claimed process, thus anticipating the instant claims. Example 2 is mentioned in the final Office action to point out to the activity of the enzyme and the conditions of the enzymatic reaction. With regard to the 103(a) rejection of claim 8, the motivation is given in the paragraph bridging pages 6-7 of the final Office action.